

## SUMMARY: MISUSE OF PROTECTION ORDERS BY UC FOR OFFICE SPACE RECLAMATION for FACULTY THAT RESIGNED

The FAQ on homages, which I believe shows violation of emeritus rights and 2nd ammendment rights of the UC faculty is found at [www.brettfleisch.com/FAQ.pdf](http://www.brettfleisch.com/FAQ.pdf) These homages are a violation of faculty rights to secure space internally, when homages. The homages when placed in protection orders becomes illegal use of a "protection order" and a felony in the State of California. Although I prefer to think of this as an 18 USC 241 or 242 violation under 2nd ammendment rights, the State of California has state codes about protection order misuse that are felonies.

The University Lawyers: Kulkarni, Robinson, Petrokokis, etc have assisted in writing these documents against University faculty without knowing they have emeritus rights. Nonetheless, even if a faculty member resigns, emeritus rights are curtailed with protection orders and a policy from the Office of the President that states (in summary) "abusive people will not be tolerated".

I believe there is significant use of these homages as explained in my statement at [www.brettfleisch.com/packinglist.pdf](http://www.brettfleisch.com/packinglist.pdf) I also believe the time I served in jail because of this does not match the time stipulated in the court room by my incompetent attorney's stipulation of 340 days. It was over a year for both misdemeanors. In addition, a report ordered by Judge Johnson redone was never completed and left incomplete by the time I left the courtroom. A mistrial motion for that issue is needed.

The use of protection orders to objectify an office into a person and charge the person under civil procedure appears to have happened in the UC System when faculty resign. It happened in my case. Although I did not resign, and elements of concern about this are on my web site, the use of Judges that sign these complaints for office space appears unethical and inappropriate use of law(and the judges themselves). This "objectification" of an office into a person to place a protection order upon is to optimize office space and denies 2nd Ammendment rights.

I request an investigation of what happened in my case, for all emeritus faculty in the UC System, and where appropriate throughout the United States for faculty that have disappeared from their emeritus office and Universities (AAU). This may be happening in other states with "restraining orders" after faculty resign in other States to reclaim office space.

In my case:

Judge Moyner recused Frank Stanton Peasley and signed the order not in my presence. A judge appointed the public defender who declares doubts about my competency without looking over the order and its evidence, including a copy of the resignation. I declared my attorneys were incompetent in a Marsden motion the judge did not put into evidence/transcripts and properly consider in the courtroom. This is a mistrial motion waiting to happen for both misdemeanor charges.