

What is wrong with the following scenario that judges can't see in Riverside Superior Court?

1. You work 19 years somewhere
2. They say you resigned, you refute it, they create a document called a "protection order" with the assistance of the following lawyers (see below) who you have never met personally, who do not know you, who add derogatory information in a document about a person they have never met. Officers who have never met you submit this document to a Commissioner/Judge for a 30 day order that was likely never read. Then, another lawyer, you have never met as "contract lawyer" gets another 30 day order. The judges never ask about the people involved and what it's really about, instead they sign. If so, just tell the judge its needed for the UC system.
3. Is this a violation of ethics laws? Or is it merely "judicial prudence" to allow these lawyers to write these documents over and over again for various people they have never met?
4. What about allowing nonlegals to have their name on the order so the order attempts to give them legal status with the court?

See also

www.brettfleisch.com/Regents.pdf

Lawyers creating these orders:

- (1)Sunil Kulkarni #186723 ()
- (2)Charles Robinson #1133197 ()
- (3)Karen Jenson Petrulakis #168732)
- (4)Diane Newton, ADA ()
- (5)Paul Zellerbach, District Attorney Riverside CA
- (6) Pamela Thatcher, Judicial Officer
- (7) all other ADAs involved
- (8) William Kidder, UCR
- (9) David Funder, UCR