

Documents as Presented by UC System

Homage Material (pre-Robinson)	Testimonials (Robinson)	Administrative Document(Funder)
States they need a protection order because Fleisch resigned		Email exhibit shows L. Bhuyan downloads resignation and issues “Goodbye Charlie” email
Laxmi Bhuyan – blank page Jackie Li – “broken fire alarm” Tom Payne – “preoccupied” Amy Ricks – a,b,c,d, “but that didnt happen”		Exhibits out of sequence doc used to resign with a past date

Ricks – different from homage, Robinson took this testimony she may have conducted harassment and blamed me. Mostly described routine matters she found terribly challenging issues for a secretary but were routine matters. Picked out juicy stuff from the gossip between her and another to cast on me. **Describes a campaign called “operation pivot”. Frivolous** with a hidden agenda (operation pivot).

Li – **topic change(fire alarm)**, claims to download resignation and hand it to Laxmi Bhuyan. **This contradicts administrative document.** More reactions to events from a phone call June 6th. Fright from Amy Rick's hysteria built up based on a phone call. **Frivolous.**

Payne – **topic change(preoccupied)**, “men on the roof”, **frivolous**

Bhuyan- **topic change(blank page for space reclamation)**– reaction to something he was told. Likely based on events that had no incident that occurred. Possible Reaction from statement to Funder. See note below. **frivolous**

Chief of Police added “**made to understand**” **I was being fired.** This is contradictory with homage document where lawyers said they needed “an order” because **I resigned.** See Legallyfalse at this web site. In reality, a secretary made a mistake and there is a cover-up. Lane's testimony is **frivolous**

n.b. “**made to understand**” stylized phrase suggests unrelated witnesses were coached

Notice the tone of the documents changed from the homage document used to secure the TPO and ones to secure longer “orders”. In the homage, **each is an independent anecdote.** In the latter, Robinson attempts to secure frivolous testimony derivative from hysteria and operation “pivot”. Civil matters are not investigated despite fraud (a crime) unless complained about by others.

Funder calls me. Asks a question. He questions what I thought of Laxmi firing me. I stated "Laxmi should be spanked". "But not literally, of course". Later, the comment was later taken out of context by a psychologist that testified against me that I was psychotic. He had never met me. I was not his patient. He stated it was dangerous and I owned a gun. But I have also not owned a gun for over 6 years. Just incompetent testimony paid for with taxpayer funds.

Psychologist and Administrator John Anderson, Ph.D. (who replaced Funder likely due to his incompetence) in a sworn statement accuses me of having "a long history of mental illness and drug abuse/infractions" in Statement 5 submission to the Court. Fleisch has no such history of any kind.