

Short Statement for legal research:

See www.brettfleisch.com/Wrongway.pdf which explains a treaty obligation of the United States. It describes an ex post facto law that was struck down as unconstitutional. See the work and proofs at www.brettfleisch.com for contrast with the US vs Windsor case that had a 5-4 decision. How could an ex post facto law be struck down with only a 5-4 mixed vote?

The treaty obligation is Article 6 Clause 2 and affirms the case in an even stronger fashion I argue than the 5-4 decision originally given the evidence. This implies the addition of an amicus brief would affirm the same decision in a less divided manner than the current 5-4 decision.

In my opinion, sincerely

Brett D. Fleisch, Ph. D.