

Questionnaire : disclosures, routing, transparency

1. Each testimonial is printed from the protection orders against the faculty member
2. Each person that wrote the testimonial is sent the testimonial and asked:
 - a. Why did you put in this document? If there was a blank page you signed, why would you have put it in? Would you classify it as a complaint?
 - b. What were you told your statement would be used for?
 - c. If you were told it was used to deny emeritus rights and a tenured faculty member is involved, would you have put this document in? If that right is an emeritus right specifically "an office", would you have? If it were both (meaning appearing in catalogs, having a web site, having an email) would you have put this in?
 - d. Did you note watermarks on the paper so you knew it was for a court document?
 - e. Did you note any attestation line that says "under the penalty of perjury"? If there was a blank page, and you signed it, and it denied all academic rights to an individual, would you have put it in?
 - f. If this was for a staff award and you put this document in to assist the staff in obtaining a staff award, please indicate your understanding.
 - g. Did you have a complaint? If your answer is no, explain what this document was intended for.
 - h. If this was a complaint, would it not go through the Committee on Charges?
 - i. Since there is no name to fill in on the document identified on the form as needed, who was the document about? Did you put a name on it? Could you have recycled the document to be used on other faculty intentionally or unintentionally? Which pertains?
 - j. How many chancellors have their residence/offices reclaimed using protection orders?
 - k. At UC Irvine, and other campuses where applicable, faculty live in housing on University property. Are "homages" used to evict the faculty?
 - l. Was the **routing** transparent (i.e. **disclosed**) so you knew who needed to sign this document. On campus? Off campus? Was there a cover page?
 - m. Was there proper disclosure where the document was going and how it would be assembled (assuming you only viewed your contribution). If you knew you were *legally responsible* for what others wrote, did the assembly allow proper **review**?
 - n. Was the routing explained? Who signs(a cover page)? Did you know it was one page of a judicial document?
 - o. Did you know the lawyers can use this document to obstruct justice in some cases: e.g.fraud, deprivation of rights, accusations of abandonment of tenure?