

The Use of Flagrantly Poor Paperwork for Civil Orders (Protection Orders) in the State of California  
V 1.0

- No watermarks on the paperwork (blank testimonial forms) to associate it with a judicial action/order. Blank line for signature only.
- No attestation line "under the penalty of perjury" for the originators signature on the testimonials
- No "name" to identify who the paperwork is about on each testimonial page
- TPOs may state there will be a hearing about the matter. These TPOs have each page stamped/printed with "this order expires in 30 days". Readers assume the hearing is a close-out hearing. In fact, it's a renewal hearing. Calling the clerks of court confirm the hearing is a close out hearing confirmed close-out in my case. In fact, the clerks were wrong. The paperwork leads to incorrect conclusions.
- If the hearing is for continuation there should be a confirmation to be sent back to the court that you plan to attend and protest. There is no such form to return to the clerk. Cases may not be attended based on the assumptions.
- Judges may not even ask about having the "hearings" or "trials" on the date of renewal. They may sign 2 or 3 years renewals but the victim sees the expires and did not attend. This is incorrect judicial procedure and may be done throughout the State. It may be done without you present
- contempt of court cases quickly arise after your rights are deprived
- arrests for contempt causes jail overcrowding
- Where are the directions on what happens and what you need to do? There are none. If you are pro se, they sink you before you begin.