

FAQ

What's an Homage? see www.brettfleisch.com/SummaryFraud.pdf

What is “the back door”? This is the means to bypass University Bylaws and make an administrative decision. For tenured faculty decisions, it is a back door to incorrect procedures being adhered to by the administration. A “Vice Chancellor for Administrative Resolution” is one such back door.

A second back door is in “hiring”. Given the incompetence of firing a tenured faculty member and calling it a resignation, there is a concern about the qualifications of the staff. Specifically, I believe that many staff came in through “temp” agencies instead of open search. This means qualifications for positions may not have been carefully checked as careers progress.

Why sign into the retirement system? According to Mary Johnson, UCR Benefits, if one doesn't sign in within one year of being severed from active employment, one loses the annuity.

Who is arrested from UC Homages put into protection orders?

Several UC faculty. Due to UC policy “homages” are enforced with a policy statement from the University. The homages are used to obstruct academic rights in some manner. See “Why use an homage”? At this web site.

One method to hide the fraud is to declare something a ‘personnel matter’ and the faculty are asked not to interfere. So, for example, if a UC Chancellor receives an homage and jumps off a building, the whole crime can be called a ‘personnel matter’ to obstruct justice. But the possible root cause of the matter can be misuse of civil law for administrative purposes and denial of constitutional rights. This besmirches both staff and faculty and obstructs reemployment prospects with a negative evaluation of performance. The “you did something wrong” is really you were besmirched for your office space. For staff, they will be possibly later fired for repeats of this issue. Listen to voice memo “on them, got them”

Where are the relevant questions about Homages used in protection orders?

The correct questions are not asked of the victims. This scheme has double dipping of arrest counts by bringing victims to the University and questioning them. At the University a frivolous unhitch, hitch can stoke arrest counts and allow an extra arrest on a UC Campus.

The detectives are questioning the wrong party, at the wrong place and by the wrong type of officer for “contempt of court”. The detectives fail to investigate the construction method of the documents that are the “output”. This means there are defective investigations that start at the victim than the ones making the “protection order”. There is fraud in the construction. See further documents or voice memos on my web page.

What does it mean when you *don't* follow the investigation suggestions and you find no evidence of protection order misuse?

A: there is some incompetence in the investigation by not following the Catalog or hiring information in the investigation notes as the University whitewashes the issue of protection order misuse while claiming to be protecting privacy of parties. For example, Brett Fleisch, has an homage and does not appear on the web site at the University.

This is an emeritus right missing. Moreover, Fleisch was fired and an illegal violation of the Bylaws occurred to have another faculty member fire him.

What does it mean if you follow the investigation suggestions and you find no evidence of protection order misuse for several cases that follow the pattern of the *modus operandi* explained on the web site?

A: The court may have vacated the judgment for the party in question and it may not be a visible record but it may have occurred. The investigator may have to check with the party verbally to determine if the event occurred and was cleared up by the court by the defendant's lawyer. The court clerks may have access to judicial information for the judges about vacated cases that law enforcement may not.

Why do the Judges not catch on to this fraud?

1. It's fraud for the office space in many cases
2. The UCOP legals are negligent and merely create these as requested without any legal fact checking. And there was no investigation.
3. The legals at UCOP create more TPOs than would otherwise be expected to be used by the judges, to perpetuate the fraud in the homages, and judges use affirmation counts that assume only one TPO when the UC legals use more. UCOP lawyers use a clever counting fraud to stoke judicial counts to obtain signatures on documents never carefully read. See www.brettfleisch.com/Whosigns.pdf

What is the Chain of Custody of stupidity?

<http://www.brettfleisch.com/stupidity.pdf>

What authors name belong on a protection orders? Do non-lawyers?

Who is Kidder? Who is Funder? Could other non-legals names (with similar administrative positions) appear on protection orders from other campuses of the UC system that are on the UC protection orders when they have never met the defendants? Even if they met and they are nonlegals would that be sufficient? Where are the references for the statements in the "order" as complained about at www.brettfleisch.com/LegallyFalse.pdf

What happens at UC, Irvine and other campuses where faculty live on UC property in homes they pay for (e.g. 99 year land lease) and "an homage" is used to reclaim the office space (protection order misuse)? What if you are a chancellor and live in the chancellor's residence?

Work out the details.