

Complaint:

A UCPD arrest for "contempt" included a "whistlestop tour" to UCR before bringing me to Presley Detention center. Incorrect arrest procedures and physical evidence was found at the wrong place where I was taken by UCPD. This imposes an on- campus penalty for something introduced at the wrong place by UCPD taking me there in custody, already searched.

Summary: UCPD added an extra charge for physical evidence found along the "tour route" instead of at the start point. The charge at the wrong place should have been given at the start point because physical evidence was produced during "the tour" by UCPD procedures. Late evidence production anywhere on the "tour route" should be the result of failed search procedure at the start point. Consequently late production would likely be inadmissible and a result of incorrect arrest procedure and faulty search at the start.

Conclusion: any point in the tour could not introduce evidence in the wrong place because I had been searched at the start point. Otherwise, the search along the tour route could "pick and choose" where and when to introduce evidence. A "pick and choose" **where** we take you and **when** to penalize you for "pick and choose" should not be legal. I conclude the arrest had inadmissible evidence.