

Why Use an Homage (a protection order whose construction methods are possibly cross-purposed)

1. The Department has the office vacated.
2. The Department puts in a memo to the Administration. It states an office was vacated under a personnel matter and faculty member X is gone.
3. The Department requests a “new position” for the faculty member X in the office. The office for X was a Department office and the Chair requests a new occupant for the previous office and the “line” back. The “line” is the money associated with the position.
4. I surmise a position for faculty member that leaves by resignation may not be given back to the Department. The reassignment to that Department uses the above steps because the office is vacant and can be used to leverage the request. The department possesses the office.

There is no central pool lottery for the resources using the above method and the position is usually given out of sorrow for losing the faculty member besmirched.

5. Any “back door” administrative decisions or employment decisions for faculty with “no causes” can be hardened by stating the “protection order” is for law enforcement because they signed the documents. They assert (by signature) legal construction of the document. They never investigate the construction nor ask questions, I suspect. Thus, a form of administrative fraud may/will be used. Because its a civil matter it will never be investigated (see the Das letter on this web site); there may be obstruction of justice, fraud, or administrative misdeeds hidden (Bylaw violations). Moreover, because they can argue its a “personnel matter” it will never be investigated. Staff/faculty can be restricted from fixing their own mistakes.
- Because faculty member X has a purported resignation the faculty member is restricted on certain work assignments in the State of California. This is because there was a necessary enrollment in the retirement system. This was explained elsewhere on the web site.
 - For example, a Professorial position in the Cal State System is an opportunity deprived by the rules. I thus assume while the UC System holds me in abeyance, I would be eligible for dual compensation from UC and an equivalent Cal State salary when restored from the false resignation. This is due to the restrictions in place for work the UC System holds over faculty members at retirement.